Activation Parameters for Base-Catalyzed Hydrolysis and l8O=C Exchange for Amides Having Amine Portions of Reduced Basicity. N-Ethyl-N-(trifluoroethy1)toluamide and N-Toluoyl-3,3,4,4-tetrafluoropyrrolidine Exchange Faster than They Hydrolyze

Ben-Yao Liu and R. *S.* Brown*

Department of Chembtry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G **2G2**

Receioed August 21, 1992

The carbonyl ¹⁸O exchange and hydrolysis kinetics of N-ethyl-N-(trifluoroethyl)toluamide (4) and **N-toluoyl-3,3,4,4-tetrafluoropyrrolidine (6)** have been studied in basic media **as** a function of temperature. For these two amides, the rate constant for ^{18}O = C exchange exceeds the rate constant for hydrolysis in the [OH-] domain where both processes are first order in [OH-]. For *'80* exchange, the rate-limiting step is k_1 , referring to the addition of hydroxide, while for hydrolysis, the ratelimiting step is k_2 (k_2') , referring to the breakdown of the aninic tetrahedral intermediates. The observed ΔH^*_{ex} , ΔH^*_{hyd} for 4 are 17.3 (0.3) and 17.3 (0.4) kcal/mol, while those for 5 are 14.5 (0.3) and 16.7 (0.2) kcal mol⁻¹, respectively. The observed ΔS^*_{ex} and ΔS^*_{hyd} values for 4 are -26.2 (0.8) and **-33.2 (1.1)** cal-K-'.mol-' while those corresponding to **6** are **-25.0 (0.8)** and **-23.0 (0.6)** cal-K-l-mol-l, respectively. The activation parameters are discussed in terms of the restriction of solvent in the transition states leading to and away from the anionic tetrahedral intermediates and a change in mechanism for product formation between **4** and **6.**

Introduction

Recent studies of OH--promoted hydrolysis of amides **1-6** have revealed that there are well-defined mechanistic changes that occur **as** the basicity of the amine portion decreases.¹ Detailed carbonyl ¹⁸O exchange and D₂O

solvent kinetic isotope effect studies' support the generalized hydrolytic processes² for these amides presented in Scheme I. For amides with relatively basic amine portions, the path leading to product formation (path a, k_2) involves monoanionic but fully N-protonated intermediates (T_{ZW}or Tzw-OH-).' For those amides, e.g., **1** and **2,** installation of the requisite N^+H is facile, and k_2 is large so that the k_{ex}/k_{hyd} ratios are small. Reduction of the amine basicity increases the energy of the N-protonated forms **as** well **as** any transition states involving them and produces increasing amounts of ¹⁸O-exchange. For example, the k_{ex} k_{hvd} ratios for 3 and 4 (T = 100 $^{\circ}$ C, μ = 1.0) are 0.13³ and \sim 33 while the respective p K_a values for their ammonium ions are **8.33** and **6.3,** respectively.' Further reduction in amine basicity so raises the energy of T_{ZW} - and/or T_{ZW} -OHthat C-N cleavage cannot involve those species and new pathways must become important. These involve expul-

 a Key: $^* = 180$; products refer to those initially formed after C-N *cleavage.*

sion of the amide anion directly from To⁻ (path b, Scheme I), perhaps with some general assistance of the solvent *(kz')* or involvement **of a** second **OH-** in assisting in the expulsion of amide anion from $To⁻$ $(k₃)$. To the extent that further reductions in amine basicity favor the expulsion of amide anion from To^- , the k_{ex}/k_{hyd} ratios fall.^{1a} Our most recent study^{1a} suggests that the point of mechanistic transition from path a for C-N cleavage, to path b, occurs when the amine basicity is someplace between that of **4** and **5** (pK,, ammonium ion of tetrafluoropyrrolidine is 4.05,⁴ k_{ex}/k_{hyd} for 5 is 9, $T = 73 \text{ °C}$.^{1a}

The comprehensive hydrolytic process can be described by eq **1** from which can be derived eqs **2** and **3** which

⁽¹⁾ (a) Brown, **R. S.;** Bennet, A. J.; Slebocka-Tilk, **H.;** Jodhan, A. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1992, 114, 3092.** (b) Slebocka-Tilk, **H.;** Bennet, A. J.; **Hogg,H.** J.;Brown,R. *S. J.Am. Chem.Soc.* **1991,113,1288.** (c)Slebocka- **Tilk,H.;Bennet,A.J.;Keillor,J.W.;Brown,R.S.;Guthrie,J.P.;Jodhan,** A. *J. Am. Chem.* SOC. **1990,112,8607.**

⁽²⁾ Brown, R. **5.;** Bennet, A. J.; Slebocka-Tilk, **H.** Acc. *Chem. Res.* **1992,25,481.**

⁽³⁾ Slebocka-Tilk, **H.;** Brown, R. S. Unpublished results.

⁽⁴⁾ Roberts, R. D.; **Ferran, H. E.,** Jr.; Gula, M. J.; **Spencer,** T. **A.** J. *Am. Chem.* SOC. **1980,102,70&4.**

deecribe the *'80* exchange and hydrolysis kinetic constants, k_{ex} and k_{hyd} . The factor of 2 in eq 2 arises from the fact that only half the reversal by **k-1** leads to *'80* exchange.

$$
A + OH^{-} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \text{[OH]} \end{array}} \text{To} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} k_2 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \text{[OH]} \end{array}} \text{P} \tag{1}
$$

$$
k_{\rm ex} = k_1 k_{-1} \text{[OH^-]} / 2(k_2 + k_2' + k_3 \text{[OH^-]} + k_{-1}) \tag{2}
$$

$$
k_{\text{hyd}} = k_1 \text{[OH]}(k_2 + k_2' + k_3 \text{[OH]})/(k_2 + k_2' + k_4 \text{[OH]} + k_{-1})
$$
 (3)

Only amides **5** and **6** with relatively nonbasic amine portions have been shown^{1a} to exhibit terms in their hydrolysis profiles that are second order in **[OH-],** but at low $[OH^-]$, the second-order term $(k_3[OH^-])$ is unimportant. Thus, for the entire set of amides **(1-6)** conditions *can* be found where the exchange and hydrolysis kinetics are given **as:**

$$
k_{\text{ex}} = k_1 k_{-1} \text{[OH]} / 2(k_2 + k_2' + k_{-1}) \tag{4}
$$

$$
k_{\rm hyd} = k_1 \text{[OH^-]}(k_2 + k_2')/(k_2 + k_2' + k_{-1})
$$
 (5)

$$
k_{\rm ex}/k_{\rm hyd} = k_{-1}/2(k_2 + k_2') \tag{6}
$$

Amides **4** and **5** stand alone among presently investigated amides in that their k_{ex}/k_{hyd} ratios are large enough that the pathways leading to exchange and hydrolysis are kinetically isolated and therefore are amenable to indikinetically isolated and therefore are amenable to individual analysis. Also, the fact that there appears to be a break in the k_{ex}/k_{byd} ratios in passing from $3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$
suggests that there is a change in the mochanis suggests that there is a change in the mechanism of breakdown from the *kp* pathway (Scheme I) to the *kz'* pathway **ae** the amine baeicity is reduced to the point where its departure **as** an anion is favored. In order to offer some insight into this mechanistic change, we determined the activation parameters, ΔH^* and ΔS^* , for the exchange and hydrolysis processes for amides **4** and **5.**

Experimental Section

(a) Materials. N-Ethyl-N-(trifluoroethyl)toluamide (4)^{1b} and N -toluoyl-3,3,4,4-tetrafluoropyrrolidine $(5)^{1a}$ were prepared as previously described, **as** were their *50%* l*O-labeled analogues.

(b) Kinetics. The rate of hydrolysis of 4 at various temperatures were determined by HPLC analysis. Conventional UV/ vis spectrophotometry cannot be used because the amine product decomposes in the reaction medium and gives colored products. NaOH solutions were prepared under $CO₂$ -free conditions in an Ar-filled drybox and stored under Ar. The concentrations were determined by titration with standardized HC1 (phenolphthalein indicator). H_2O was prepared CO_2 and O_2 free by passing distilled H2O through an Osmonics Aries water purification system consisting of two MR-1 mixed bed cartridges and one MROX-1 mixed bed, organic, and $O₂$ removal cartridge. For each temperature set, $11 \mu L$ (12.9 mg) of 4 in 1 mL of DME was added to **24** mL of **1.08** M NaOH solution **(4%** v/v DME for solubility) such that the final [amide] was **2.10** mM. The solution was divided into five or six portions, each being placed in an 8-mL Teflon **FEP** centrifuge tube which was then sealed with a Nalgene leak-proof cap having a Teflon liner. The sealed tubes were thermostated at **72.0, 79.0,** 86.0, **93.0** (water circulating bath), and $100 \degree C$ (boiling H_2O vapor). The tube corresponding to zero time *(to)* was removed after a 15-min equilibration period. The other tubes were removed after various times and cooled in an ice bath, and a precisely measured 0.50-mL portion was removed

Table I. Pseudo-First-Order **Rate** Constants for Hydrolysis and **180** Exchange of Amide **4.**

temp (°C)	$k_{\rm hyd}$ (s ⁻¹ × 10 ⁵) ^b	k_{ex} (s ⁻¹ \times 10 ⁵) ^c	$k_{\rm ex}/k_{\rm hyd}$ ^d		
72.0	0.49 ± 0.02	1.37 ± 0.01	31.7		
79.0	$0.78 \oplus 0.01$	2.28 ± 0.01	33.3		
86.0	1.28 ± 0.06	3.74 ± 0.04	33.2		
93.0	2.20 ± 0.04	5.94 ± 0.16	30.7		
100.0	3.43 ± 0.04	$10.0 \oplus 0.1$	33.1		

^aErrors from standard deviations of slopes of plota of **In** [residual amide] or $\ln (I_{M^++2}/(I_{M^++2} + I_{M^+}))$ vs time where I_{M^+} and I_{M^++2} are mass spectrometric peak intensities of parent and parent + **2** ions. $\frac{1}{2}$ At 1.08 M NaOH. $\frac{1}{2}$ At 0.095 M NaOH (μ = 1.0 (KCI)). $\frac{1}{2}$ k_{ex} and k_{hyd} converted to second-order rate constants to determine k_{ex}/k_{hyd} ratio.

Hydrolysis and l*O Exchange of Amide **5.** Table **11.** Pseudo-First-Order **Rate** Constants for

temp (°C)	$k_{\rm{hyd}}$ (s ⁻¹ × 10 ⁵)	$k_{\rm ex}$ ($8^{-1} \times 10^{5}$)
33.1		2.12 ± 0.02
40.1	$0.28 \triangleq 0.01$	3.57 ± 0.10
47.0		6.41 ± 0.13
50.0	0.671 ± 0.01	
54.0		10.6 ± 0.2
57.0	1.15 ± 0.02	
61.3		$16.9 \triangle 0.2$
64.0	$1.97 \triangleq 0.03$	
70.1	3.30 ± 0.13	
71.5	3.74 ± 0.05	
72.6	4.05 ± 0.05	
75.0	$4.58 \triangleq 0.04$	
77.5	5.79 ± 0.07	
80.0	6.52 ± 0.10	
82.5	7.83 ± 0.14	
85.0	8.52 ± 0.17	

 α [NaOH] = 0.0219 M, μ = 1.0 (KCl).

and quenched by injection **into 0.75** mL of MeOH containing enough HOAc to neutralize the base. Twenty μ L of this solution was subjected to HPLC analysis to determine quantitatively the amount of residual amide: flow, **1.38** mL/min, 60/40 MeOH/ HzO degassed with He for 10 min before **run;** Waters 8 MB C18 radial pak column; UV detection **254** nm; amide retention time, **10.7** min. For each temperature two independent samples were analyzed, and each was subjected to three HPLC determinations of the amount of residual amide. The **khyd** value was determined **as** the slope of a plot of **In** (amide area) **vs** time: the averages of the so-determined pseudo-first-order rate constants for the hydrolyses of **4** are presented in Table I. The rate of hydrolysis of **5** at **40.2,50.0,57.0,64.0,70.1,71.6,72.6,75.0,77.5,80.0,82.5,** and 85.0 °C (external MGW Lauda RM20 water circulating bath) was measured by observing the rate of increase in absorbance at 235 nm of 1×10^{-4} M aqueous solutions of 5 (containing 4% DME for solubility) using **a** Cary 210 W/vis spectrophotometer. The NaOH concentration was 0.0219 M $(\mu = 1.0$ (KCl)), and all solutions were $CO₂$ free and made up in an Ar-filled drybox. Observed pseudo-first-order rate constants **(khyd)** were obtained by fitting the absorption vs time curves to a standard exponential model. Given in Table **I1** are the **khyd** values for hydrolysis of *I* (average of three runs). The *'80* exchange kinetics for *50% '80* labeled amides were determined as previously described^{1a,b} at five different temperatures for each amide. The pseudo-firstorder rate constants for exchange (k_{ex}) for 4 and 5 are reported in Tables I and **11.**

Results and Discussion

The pseudo-first-order rate constants for base-catalyzed hydrolysis and carbonyl **l80** exchange of amides **4** and **⁶** shown in Tables I and 11 were converted to second-order ones and then plotted according to the Eyring equation in the usual way against $1/T$ to give the activation parameters shown in Table 111.

Because $k_{ex} > k_{hyd}$ for these two amides, reversal from the anionic tetrahedral intermediate (k_{-1}) must be large

Hydrolysis and Carbonyl Exchange of Amides 4 and 5. Table 111. Activation Parameters for Bare-Catalyzed

	amide 4		amide 5	
parameter	exchange	hydrolysis ⁶	exchange	hydrolysis
ΔH^1 (kcal-mol ⁻¹) ΔS^1 (cal-K ⁻¹ -mol ⁻¹) ΔG [:] (100 °C) $(kcal·mol-1)$	17.3 ± 0.3 -26.2 ± 0.8 27.1 ± 0.6	17.3 ± 0.4 -33.2 ± 1.1 29.7 ± 0.8	14.5 ± 0.3 -25.0 ± 0.8 23.8 ± 0.6	16.7 ± 0.2 -23.0 ± 0.6 25.3 ± 0.4

^{*a*} Determined from Eyring plots of $\ln (kh/k_BT)$ vs $\frac{1}{T}$ where $h =$ **Planck's constant,** $k_B = \text{Boltzman's constant, and } k = \text{second-order}$ **exchange or hydrolysia rate constant; duplicate runs of five temperatures for exchange and hydrolysis of 4 and exchange for 5;** triplicate runs of 12 temperatures for hydrolysis of 5. ^b Previously determined^{1b} ΔH^{\dagger} and ΔS^{\dagger} for hydrolysis of 4 16.4 \pm 0.6 kcal·mol⁻¹. 35.5 ± 1.5 cal \cdot K⁻¹ \cdot mol⁻¹: by ¹H NMR, three temperatures.

relative to product formation $(k_2 \text{ or } k_2)$. Thus, the expressions for k_{ex} and k_{hyd} are simplified to:

$$
k_{\rm ex} = k_1 \text{[OH}^-]/2 \tag{7}
$$

$$
k_{\text{hyd}} = k_1(k_2 + k_2')[OH^-]/k_{-1} = K(k_2 + k_2')[OH^-]
$$
 (8)

where $K = k_1/k_{-1}$. As such, k_{ex} and its associated activation parameters pertain only to the transition state corresponding to OH- attack, while the rate-limiting step(s) pertaining to hydrolysis are those involving k_2 and/or k_2 ^t corresponding to breakdown of the anionic intermediate.

Inspection of the activation parameters given in Table III reveals that for amide 4 the ΔH^* for both hydrolysis and exchange are essentially equal $(17.3 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})$ while the ΔS^* values are both negative, with the one associated with hydrolysis being somewhat more negative. This has two important ramifications, namely: (1) it is the entropy term that retards the hydrolysis and allows exchange to predominate, and (2) since the ΔH^* for exchange and hydrolysis are experimentally the same, the k_{ex}/k_{bvd} ratio will not change significantly **as** a function of temperature. That the ΔS^*_{hvd} is more negative than the ΔS^*_{ex} is probably a consequence of the greater restriction of waters of solvation in the transition state required for breakdown of the highly charged separated T_{ZW} or T_{ZW} . OH- relative to the transition state required for simple addition to OHto form To- from **4.**

In the case of amide 5 where $k_{ex}/k_{hyd} = k_{-1}/2k_2' = 9$ at 73 $\rm{°C}$,^{1a} the entropies for exchange and hydrolysis are similar which means that ¹⁸O exchange exceeds hydrolysis because the ΔH^*_{ex} is lower than ΔH^*_{hyd} by roughly 2 kcal-mol⁻¹. The similarity of the ΔS^*_{ex} and ΔS^*_{hyd} values is consistent with the proposed mechanism shown in Scheme I where the transition states controlling exchange (k_1) and hydrolysis (k_2) are both close to To⁻ and involve similar restriction of solvent in the expulsion/addition of the mono anionic groups, OH^- or $\bar{N}R_1R_2$. The fact that $\Delta H^*_{\text{ex}} < \Delta H^*_{\text{hyd}}$ means that the $k_{\text{ex}}/k_{\text{hyd}}$ ratio will decrease **as** the temperature increases. From the activation parameters given in Table III, k_{ex}/k_{hyd} ratios of 12.9 and 7.4 at 40 and 100 "C are calculated, respectively.

A similar sort of dissection of the activation parameters controlling exchange and hydrolysis has been attempted previously by Deslongchamps et al. 6 in order to lend support to his theory of stereoelectronic control of the cleavage of tetrahedral intermediates formed during hydrolysis reactions. However, the data presented in the original paper^{5a} for hydrolysis and 18 O exchange for amides **7-9** indicate that the k_{ex}/k_{hyd} ratios vary from 0.28 to 0.48

 $(T = 10-45 \text{ °C})$, 0.10-0.30 $(T = 40-85 \text{ °C})$, and 0.18-0.43 $(T = 60-90$ °C), respectively, which means that the k_{-1} and k_2 transition states are of comparable energy. Therefore, the appropriate equations for describing the exchange and hydrolysis kinetics for 7-9 are not the reported ones⁵ which are equivalent to eqs 7 and 8, but rather eqs 4 and 5 where the $k_3[OH^-]$ term is omitted from the generalized hydrolysis pathway of eq 1. Moreover, in such cases because the exchange and hydrolysis processes are of comparable energy, they are not kinetically isolated, **so** that any activation parameters determined are those corresponding to the virtual transition states associated with partitioning of the intermediate.⁶ In the reported cases, it was observed that k_{ex}/k_{hyd} ratios increased with temperature, which is required since $\Delta H^*_{\text{ex}} > \Delta H^*_{\text{hyd}}$.

Conclusions. For amides 4 and 5 where ¹⁸O exchange is far more prominent than hydrolysis, the exchange and hydrolysis transition states are kinetically isolated and therefore analyzable in terms of their activation parameters. The important consequence of these findings is that for a given amide k_{ex}/k_{hyd} may increase,⁵ decrease, or remain unchanged **as** a function of temperature, but this is controlled by the ΔH^* of the competing transition states which is not immediately predictable in any given situation.

Acknowledgment. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the University of Alberta, and donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for financial support of this work. They also acknowledge the experimental contributions of Dr. H. Slebocka-Tilk for determining the k_{ex} and **khyd** Values Of amide 3.

⁽⁵⁾ (a) Deslongchamps, P.; Bartlett, R.; Taillefer, R. J. Can. *J.* **Chem. 1980,58,2167. (b) Deslongchamps, P. Stereoelectronic Effects in Orgonic Chemistry; Pergamon: Oxford, 1983; pp 111-116.**

⁽⁶⁾ According to Deslongchamps' analysissa of eq 1, 'because there ie isotope exchange with solvent, *kz* **muat be the rate determining step and** since an OH group is a better leaving group than an R_2N group, we must
have $k_{-1} \gg k_2$ and hence $k_{\text{hyd}} = Kk_2$ where $K = k_1/k_{-1}$, the equilibrium
constant for testrahedral intermediate formation". This assumption **but is not warranted for amides 7-9. Any conclusions based on such assumptions that relate to the support of the stereoelectronic theory6 as pertains to tetrahedral intermediate partitioning muat be viewed with skepticism.**